Abstract- Natural gas plays an important role for the economic development of Bangladesh. It is the primary options to satisfy the environmentally clean energy, whereas coal is a dirty energy source and oil creates an unhealthy environment. Bangladesh is the seventh-largest producer of natural gas in Asia. Gas supplies meet 56% of domestic energy demand. The proven natural gas reserve in Bangladesh is only 19.73 Tcf. The Rashidpur Gas Field (RGF) is located in the Sylhet Basin, Northeast Bangladesh. It is 35 km long and 7 km anticlinal structure and asymmetric in nature with steeper eastern flank (22˚ to 25˚) and gentler western flank (8˚ to 12˚). There are two gas zones in depth between 1380m to 2787m below surface. Sandstone reservoirs of Miocene-Pliocene age and are considered to have been originated shallow marine depositional environment. The reservoir porosity-permeability values are very good, with estimated gas initially in place (GIIP) of the RGF was 2.242 Tcf with 58% recovery, thus recording an initial gas reserve is 1.309 Tcf. Five gas producing wells (RP-1, 3, 4, 6 and 7) in the RGF are producing 50 MMscf gas per day. Due to the demand of natural gas with decreasing production rate, this enhanced natural gas plays a vital role in the national economy of the country. This research depicts the development of the daily production of the RGF from 50 MMscfd to 99 MMscfd using software from the existing production wells. Thus the natural gas in the RGF would be enhanced/recovered using carbon dioxide (CO₂) gas injection by Enhanced Gas Recovery (EGR) method from the RGF reservoir. Applying this method would play a vital role to increase the daily production rate of the RGF.

Index Terms- Rashidpur gas field, enhanced gas recovery, carbon dioxide gas injection, trillion cubic feet.
commercial and domestic sector with system loss 5% [6]. From the year of 2004 to 2013 the daily production of natural gas is reduced as 1305 MMscfd (million cubic feet per day) to 1063 MMscfd [6]. It is needed to enhance the rest of the gas, based on initial evaluation of field reserve as the reservoir characteristics of Bangladesh are moderate to high porosity and permeability [5]. Maximum gas recovered from the reservoir is done by primary recovery (20-30%), secondary recovery (40%). Using modern recovery technique or improved technique or tertiary technique known as Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) recovered 60-65% [7]. Enhanced Gas Recovery (EGR) can be achieved using CO₂ as it is heavier than natural gas [8]. CO₂ gas injection methods include two main groups of miscible and immiscible gas injection. In the immiscible method gas is not miscible with the reservoir fluid on the other hand the miscibility mechanism is to solvent extraction to achieve miscibility [9].
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**Figure 1 Location Map of the Study Area, (Surma Basin, Sylhet, Bangladesh) (Modified after [10])**

Bengal Basin is a fruitful hydrocarbon-bearing basin in Southeast Asia. The Sylhet Basin known as the Surma Basin is a sub-basin of the Bengal Basin. The Surma Basin is of great importance in cause of sediment thickness probably exceeds 20 km and economic deposits [11]. The Rashidpur structure is an anticlinal structure situated in the southern part of the Surma Basin [12]. The anticline is north-south trending, 35km long, 7km wide, and is asymmetric in nature with steeper (22° to 25°) eastern flank and gentler (8° to 12°) western flank [12]. The Rashidpur field is geologically complex and the
gas is distributed in at least five stacked sand bodies. Upper Gas Sand 1 (UGS1), Upper Gas Sand 2 (UGS2), Middle Gas Sand 1 (MGS1), Middle Gas Sand 2 (MGS2), and Lower Gas Sand (LGS) in increasing order of depth [13]. RGF has two producing zones named as Upper Gas Sand (UGS) and Lower Gas Sand (LGS), estimated reserve 0.54 Tcf and 1.26 Tcf respectively [14].

The RGF has a capacity of 200 MMscfd gas, with Gas initially in place (GIIP) was 2.242 Tcf [13]. Current production rate of RGF is 47 MMscfd and condensate reserve is 55.3 BBL [2]. There are seven wells at RGF; among them five wells (RP-1, 3, 4, 6 and 7) are producing wells. The RGF well known as (RP) 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, and 7 are vertical well and RP-4 is deviated well. Currently gas production rate of individual wells are 19 MMscfd, 11MMscfd, 12MMscfd, 1MMscfd and 8 MMscfd from RP 1, 3, 4, 6 and 7 well [13]. The aims of this study is to enhance the natural gas production rate in the RGF by applying one of the most renowned enhancing method, by injecting carbon dioxide (CO₂) gas.

II. GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Bengal Basin is placed in the easternmost part of the Indian sub-continent occupying whole Bangladesh and part of India and Myanmar. Bengal basin is bounded by the Indian Shield Platform to the west, north by the Precambrian Shillong Massif, to the east by the Indo-Burman ranges and plunges in to the Bay of Bengal to the south, and the Surma Basin is located in the northeastern part of the Bengal Basin [15], [16]. The lowermost unit, the Jaintia Group is subdivided into the Tura Sandstone, Sylhet Limestone and Kopili Shale Formations, in ascending order. The Tura Sandstone Formation consists mainly of poorly sorted sandstones, mudstones, some carbonaceous material and impure limestones, and was deposited in shallow to deep marine environments [17]. The Barail group (late Eocene to early Miocene) consists mainly of clastic sediments and is divided into the basal Jenam Formation (predominantly shale) and the overlying Renji Formation (mainly sandstone, with interbedded siltstone and a shale) [18]. The overlying Surma Group (middle to late Miocene) consists of alternating sandstones, siltstones and mudstones and is lithologically divided into the mostly arenaceous Bhuban Formation and the dominantly Bokabil Formation. The RGF is an asymmetric anticline with north-south trending axis and located south central part of the Surma Basin (Figure 2). The anticlinal structure is about 35km long and 7km wide. Stratigraphic succession of the Sylhet Basin is shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Stratigraphy of the Sylhet Basin, Bangladesh (after [16])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Depositional Environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recent</td>
<td>Alluvium</td>
<td>Alluvium</td>
<td>Sand, Silt, Clay</td>
<td>Fluvial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Pleistocene</td>
<td>Dihing</td>
<td>Dihing</td>
<td>Sandstone, Shale</td>
<td>Fluvial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pliocene-Pleistocene</td>
<td>Dupitila</td>
<td>Dupitila</td>
<td>Sandstone, Shale</td>
<td>Fluvial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Miocene-Pliocene</td>
<td>Tipam</td>
<td>Girujan Clay</td>
<td>Clay, Sandstone</td>
<td>Fluvial, Lacustrine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tipam</td>
<td>Sandstone</td>
<td>Fluvial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle-Late Miocene</td>
<td>Surma</td>
<td>Bokabil</td>
<td>Sandstone, Shale</td>
<td>Marine, Deltaic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bhuban</td>
<td>Sandstone, Shale</td>
<td>Marine, Deltaic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Eocene-early</td>
<td>Barail</td>
<td>Renji</td>
<td>Sandstone, Shale</td>
<td>Shallow marine, deltaic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jenam</td>
<td>Shale, Sandstone</td>
<td>Shallow marine, deltaic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Eocene</td>
<td>Jaintia</td>
<td>Kopilı Shale</td>
<td>Shale, minor Ist.</td>
<td>Shallow marine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early-middle Eocene</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sylhet Limestone</td>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>Shallow marine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleocene-early</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tura Sandstone</td>
<td>Quartz arenitese</td>
<td>Shallow marine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eocene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The RGF has a daily facility capacity of natural gas is 220 MMscfd, but it produces only 50 MMscfd. When a reservoir pressure is decreased or depleted through primary and secondary production, carbon dioxide gas injection can be an ideal recovery method [19]. Combination of CO$_2$ EGR and storage in reservoirs provides a bridge between reducing greenhouse gases from industrial waste streams and the beneficial use of CO$_2$ injection for increasing gas recovery. After injecting carbon dioxide gas through the well the results are calculated from MATLAB simulation software and the two curves, Inflow Performance Relationship (IPR) curve and Vertical Lift Performance (VLP) curve intersection point provides expected production rate or flow rate of the RGF.

A reservoir model is done by using GOOGLE SKETCH UP 8 and PHOTOSHOP CS 6 software. IPR and VLP curve is generated by using MATLAB software and to generate this curve a number of data is being entered into the software. To set up this curve it is entered into reservoir pressure data and flowing wellhead pressure (fwhp) data simultaneously. Carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) gas injection is a very famous enhanced recovery process, for choosing this method it is mentioned that the carbon dioxide gas has two special characteristics:

- Miscibility
- Less expensive than other similarly miscible fluids
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The large quantities of natural gas in the RGF are existed in the gas bearing formations. Gas initially in place (GIIP) of the RGF was 2.242 Tcf with 58% recovery by IKM (1992), thus recording an initial gas reserve 1.309 Tcf. HCU/NPD re-estimated the GIIP at 2.202 Tcf with a suggested 70% (1.401 Tcf) recovery, and remaining 30% reserve is unrecoverable [20]. Five gas producing wells (RP-1, 3, 4, 6 and 7) in the RGF are producing 50 MMscf gas per day [13]. Average daily gas production of the RGF was 92 MMscfd [21]. The daily production of the RGF is decreasing day by day and it creates a great loss of the fuels. In order to increase the daily production rate and also recover remain gas from the RGF; a renewable enhanced carbon dioxide gas injection method is to be applied. This EGR method can be applied for the RGF to enhance gas recovery used RP-7 and RP-5 as injecting wells for CO₂ gas injection. As RP-5 well is the southernmost well, production declined over time and well got loaded with water. Both RP-7 and RP-5 well is situated at the periphery of the RGF, Injecting CO₂ gas through these well could be recover the natural gas from all over the RGF reservoir, as it is an egg shaped reservoir. To enhance the daily production rate from the RGF gas reservoir a simultaneous work is done by MATLAB simulation software. Carbon dioxide gas injection EGR method is being used to enhance the daily gas production rate of the RGF. To calculate the gas production optimization of the RP-1, 3, 4 and 7, it is done by decreasing reservoir pressure with changing wellhead pressure.

4.1 Reservoir model of the RGF

There are seven wells at Rashidpur gas field, five wells (RP-1, 3, 4, 6, and 7) among them are gas producing wells. RP-1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 wells are vertical well; RP-4 is deviated well. The gas producing rate at RP-1, 3, 4, 6 and 7 wells during June, 2011 are 19 MMscfd, 11 MMscfd, 12 MMscfd, 1 MMscfd and 8 MMscfd respectively [13]. Gas recovery from the RGF with the two vertical well as RP-7 and RP-5 suggested as an injection well and a proposing model of the RGF using GOOGLE SKETCH UP 8 and PHOTOSHOP CS 6 software is shown in figure 3.
4.2 Increased efficiency/sufficiency of Gas – using miscible CO₂ gas injection method

Using carbon dioxide (CO₂) from nearby industrial waste into the RGF reservoirs EGR can be achieved as CO₂ is heavier than natural gas. The main benefit of CO₂ injection is pressure support to prevent subsidence and water intrusion. This injected CO₂ enters the RGF reservoirs and moves through the pore space of the rock as it has very good porosity and permeability. After injecting CO₂, the results are found from MATLAB software. The two curves, Inflow Performance Relationship (IPR) curve and Vertical List Performance (VLP) intersection point provides expected production rate or flow rate of the RGF. After injection of CO₂ gas, due to pressure difference, the daily production of the RGF is found from the well RP-1, 3, 4, and 7.

4.2.1 Well RP-1

RP-1 well is one of the gas producing well of the RGF. It was drilled in 1960 to 9099ft (2774m) MD. It is a vertical well. RP-1 and reported to encounter gas in two sands in upper gas sand (UGS1) and lower gas sand (LGS). Currently daily producing range of natural gas is 18-20 MMscfd. After injection of CO₂ gas into the RGF reservoirs, the production rate of RP-1 will be increased as 31 MMscfd.

4.2.2 Well RP-3

RP-3 was drilled in 1989 to 9711ft (2960m) MD as a vertical well. RP-3 is reported to encounter gas in upper gas sand 1(UGS1), upper gas sand 2(UGS2), lower gas sand (LGS). The well was completed in LGS. Currently daily producing range of natural gas is 10-11 MMscfd. After injection of CO₂ gas into the RGF reservoir, the production rate of RP-3 will be increased as 23 MMscfd.
4.2.3 Well RP-4

RP-4 was drilled in 1989 as a deviated well. RP-4 is reported to encounter gas in upper gas sand 2 (UGS2), lower gas sand (LGS), LGS2. The well was completed in LGS2 sands. Average daily producing range of natural gas is 10-20 MMscfd. After injection of CO₂ gas into the RGF reservoir, the production rate of RP-4 will be increased as 21 MMscfd.
4.2.4 Well RP-7

RP-7 was drilled in 1999 to 2892m MD as a vertical well. It has encountered gas in UGS1 and LGS1. Average daily producing range of natural gas is 7-8 MMscfd. After injection of CO$_2$ gas into the RGF reservoir, the production rate of RP-7 will be increased as 24 MMscfd.

![Graphical representation of IPR-VLP curve after applying CO$_2$ gas injection method using MATLAB software at RP-7](image)

Figure 7 A graphical representation of IPR-VLP curve after applying CO$_2$ gas injection method using MATLAB software at RP-7

A graphical representation of IPR-VLP curve after applying CO$_2$ gas injection method using MATLAB software at RP-1, 3, 4, 7 are 31 MMscfd, 23 MMscfd, 21 MMscfd, 24 MMscfd respectively. After the simulation work it is indicate that if the RGF will apply CO$_2$ EGR method, the daily production rate will increase to (31 MMscfd+23 MMscfd+21 MMscfd+24 MMscfd) 99 MMscfd instead of 50 MMscfd [13]. Using this EGR method the production rate of the RGF will be twice than the previous daily production rate. This excess production of natural gas from the RGF may fulfill the demand of energy in Bangladesh and the economy will go smoothly.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The recoverable reserve of the Rashidpur Gas Field (RGF) is 1481 BCF (3D seismic 2012), 2433 BCF (RPS Energy 2009), and 1401 BCF [20]. The cumulative production rate is 554.71 BCF. The RGF has a daily production capacity of the natural gas is 220 MMscfd, but it produces only 50 MMscfd. Five gas production wells of the RGF (RP 1, 3, 4, and 7) producing 50 MMscfd [12]. After implementing CO$_2$ injection method using MATLAB simulation software at RP-1, 3, 4, and 7, the daily production rate will increase to 31 MMscfd, 23 MMscfd, 21 MMscfd, 24 MMscfd respectively. Ultimately the daily production rate of the RGF will be increased to 99 MMscfd, which is about twice than the previous production rate. For the fulfillment of present energy demand in Bangladesh the RGF will produce extra gas from the existing wells by the implementation of carbon dioxide enhanced gas recovery method. Therefore, this EGR method would be the right option in Bangladesh to extract additional gas from existing gas reservoir at RGF.
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